arXiv:1703.04847v2 [math.NT] 27 Mar 2017

QUADRATIC LAGRANGE SPECTRUM: I

XIANZU LIN

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, 350108*, China;*
Email: linxianzu@126.com

Abstract. In this paper we prove the existence of Hall's ray for the quadratic Lagrange spectrums of all real quadratic numbers. For a large class of real quadratic numbers, we compute the Hurwitz constants of their quadratic Lagrange spectrums.

Keywords: continued fractions, Lagrange spectrums, Hall's ray, Hurwitz constant.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 11J06, 11J70.

1. Introduction

By the classical Dirichlet theorem, for any irrational number α, there exist infinitely many integers p, q such that

$$|\alpha - \tfrac{p}{q}| < \tfrac{1}{q^2}. \tag{1}$$

If we want to strengthen this ineqality by replacing 1 with a smaller constant, we need to consider

$$L(\alpha) := \liminf_{q\to\infty} q|q\alpha - p|.$$

The classical Lagrange spectrum is defined to be the set L of values $L(\alpha)$ where α runs over all irrational numbers. In 1879, Markoff [6, 7] proved that L begins with a discrete sequence: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}$, $\frac{\sqrt{221}}{5}, \cdots$ which converges to $\frac{1}{3}$, where $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$ is the Hurwitz constant. In 1947, Hall [4] showed that L contains a nontrivial interval $[0, \epsilon](Hall's\ ray)$. In 1975, Cusick [2] proved that L is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$. We refer the readers to [3] and the references therein for more properties of Lagrange spectrum.

In [9, 10], as a corollary of their geometric generalizations of the Lagrange Spectra in negative curvature, Parkkonen and Paulin defined the quadratic Lagrange spectrum as follows

Throughout this paper, let $^\sigma$ be the Galois conjugate of a quadratic number x. Let α be a fixed real quadratic number, and let $\Theta_\alpha = PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})\{\alpha, \alpha^\sigma\}$

Date:

be the orbit of α and α^σ for the action of $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. The quadratic Lagrange spectrum of α is defined to be the set Sp_α of values

$$c_\alpha\xi := \liminf_{\substack{\beta\in\Theta_\alpha \\ |\beta-\beta^\sigma|\to 0}} 2\frac{|\xi-\beta|}{|\beta-\beta^\sigma|}$$

where ξ runs over all real number not in $\mathbb{Q}\cup\Theta_\alpha$.

Parkkonen and Paulin [9, 10] showed that Sp_α is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$. But their geometric method does not imply the existence of Hall's ray for Sp_α. The first main result of this paper is existence of Hall's ray for Sp_α:

Theorem 1.1. *For any real quadratic number α, there exists a positive number $\psi=\psi(\alpha)$ (see Section 3 for the definition of $\psi(\alpha)$) such that $[0,\psi]\subset Sp_\alpha$.*

Define the Hurwitz constant K_α of α to be the maximum of Sp_α, Parkkonen and Paulin [9, 10] showed that $K_\alpha \le (1+\sqrt{2})\sqrt{3}$ for each real quadratic number α. In [1], Bugeaud pointed out that the theory of continued fractions is well suited for the investigation of quadratic Lagrange spectrum. Among other results, he showed that $K_\alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $K_\varphi = \frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}-1$, where φ is the Golden Ratio $(1+\sqrt{5})/2$. Bugeaud conjectured that $\frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}-1$ is a common upper bound for all the K_α. Pejković[8] proved this conjecture using the theory of continued fractions. He also showed that

$$\lim_{v\to\infty} K_{\beta_{u,v}} = \tfrac{3}{\sqrt{5}} - 1 - \tfrac{2}{u\sqrt{5}}$$

where $\beta_{u,v}=[\overline{u,v}]$ and $v\ge u>1/0.0008$.

In this paper, we determine the Hurwitz constant $K_{\beta_{u,v}}$ for $v\ge u\ge 9$.

Theorem 1.2. *For $v\ge u\ge 9$, the Hurwitz constant*

$$K_{\beta_{u,v}} = \frac{2(\tau_1-\varphi^2)(1+\frac{\tau_2}{\varphi^2})}{(\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi})(\tau_1\tau_2+1)},$$

where $\tau_1=[\overline{u,v}]$ and $\tau_2=[\overline{v,u}]$.

Remark 1.3. *In* [1]*, Bugeaud conjectured the following formula for K_α*

$$K_\alpha = \max\{c_\alpha\varphi, \lim_{m\to\infty} c_\alpha\varphi_m\},$$

where $\varphi_m=[\overline{m}]$. We calculate both $c_\alpha\varphi$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty} c_\alpha\varphi_m$ for $\alpha=\beta_{u,v}$, and prove that the maximal of them is indeed $K_{\beta_{u,v}}$ when $v\ge u\ge 9$. In fact a more delicate method shows that Theorem 1.2 also holds when $v\ge u\ge 4$.

Remark 1.4. *Our proof of Theorem 1.2 also implies that $K_{\beta_{u,v}}$ is an isolated point of $Sp_{\beta_{u,v}}$, when $v\ge u\ge 9$. It is interesting to see whether $Sp_{\beta_{u,v}}$ begins with a discrete sequence, as in the case of classical Lagrange spectrum.*

This paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we give preliminaries that will be used throughout this paper. In Section 3, we give proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we determine the Hurwitz constant $K_{\beta_{u,v}}$ for $v\ge u\ge 9$. The author thanks professor Pejković for his careful reading of this paper, and numerous suggestions and corrections for improvement.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we write

$$[a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n]$$

for the finite continued fraction expansion

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_n}}},$$

and write

$$[a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n, \cdots]$$

for the infinite continued fraction expansion

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_n + \cdots}}},$$

where $a_1, a_2, \cdots$, are positive integers and a_0 is an integer. An eventually periodic continued fraction is written as

$$[a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{k-1}, \overline{a_k, \cdots, a_{k+m-1}}],$$

where $a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{k-1}$ is the preperiod and $a_k, \cdots, a_{k+m-1}$ is the shortest period.

The sequence of convergents of

$$a = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n, \cdots]$$

is defined by

$$p_{-2} = 0,\ p_{-1} = 1,\ p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}\ (n \geq 0),$$

$$q_{-2} = 1,\ q_{-1} = 0,\ q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}\ (n \geq 0).$$

A direct proof by induction shows that

$$q_{m+n} \geq 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}} q_n, \tag{2}$$

for $m, n \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.1. *(cf.*[5]*)*

$$[a_0; a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n] = \frac{p_n}{q_n},$$

$$|a - \frac{p_n}{q_n}| < \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let*

$$\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots],$$

and

$$\beta = [b_0, b_1, b_2, \cdots]$$

be the continued fraction expansions of two real numbers, and let $\{\frac{p_n}{q_n}\}_{n\geq 0}$ *the sequence of convergents of* α*. Let* n *be a nonnegative integer such that* $a_i = b_i$ *for* $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$*, and* $a_n \neq b_n$*. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{72q_nq_{n+2}} \leq \frac{1}{72q_n^2a_{n+1}a_{n+2}} \leq |\alpha - \beta|.$$

Moreover, if there exists a positive integer C *such that* $|a_i| \leq C$*, then we have*

$$\frac{1}{72(C+1)^{2n+2}} \leq |\alpha - \beta|.$$

Proof. The first inequality follows directly from [1, Lemma 2.2] and its proof. The second follows from the first since $q_n \leq (C+1)^n$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let*

$$\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots],$$
$$\beta = [b_0, b_1, b_2, \cdots],$$

and

$$\gamma = [c_0, c_1, c_2, \cdots]$$

be the continued fraction expansions of three real numbers. Let m *be a positive integer such that* $a_i = b_i$ *for* $i = 1, \cdots, m-1$*, and* $a_m \neq b_m$*, and let* n *be a positive integer such that* $a_i = c_i$ *for* $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$*, and* $a_n \neq c_n$*. Then if* $m > n+2$*, we have*

$$|\alpha - \beta| \leq \frac{72}{2^{m-n-3}}|\alpha - \gamma|.$$

If $0 \leq m-n \leq 2$*,* $n \geq 2$ *and* $a_m, a_{m+1}, a_{m+2} \leq D$*, we have*

$$|\alpha - \beta| \leq 4 \cdot 72D^4|\alpha - \gamma|.$$

Proof. Let $\{\frac{p_i}{q_i}\}_{i\geq 0}$ be the sequence of convergents of α and let $\{\frac{p_i'}{q_i'}\}_{i\geq 0}$ be the sequence of convergents of β. Then by (2) and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, if $m > n+2$,

$$|\alpha - \beta| \leq \max(\frac{1}{q_mq_{m-1}}, \frac{1}{q_m'q_{m-1}'}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{m-n-3}q_nq_{n+2}} \leq \frac{72}{2^{m-n-3}}|\alpha - \gamma|.$$

Now we assume that $a_m, a_{m+1}, a_{m+2} \leq D$. If $m - n = 1, 2$, we have

$$|\alpha - \beta| \leq \max(\frac{1}{q_mq_{m-1}}, \frac{1}{q_m'q_{m-1}'}) \leq \frac{1}{q_{m-1}^2} \leq \frac{4D^2}{q_nq_{n+2}} \leq 4 \cdot 72D^2|\alpha - \gamma|.$$

If $m = n$, set

$$\alpha' = [a_m, a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, \cdots],$$
$$\beta' = [b_m, b_{m+1}, b_{m+2}, \cdots],$$

and

$$\gamma' = [c_m, c_{m+1}, c_{m+2}, \cdots].$$

Then we have

$$\alpha = \frac{p_{m-1}\alpha' + p_{m-2}}{q_{m-1}\alpha' + q_{m-2}},$$

$$\beta = \frac{p_{m-1}\beta' + p_{m-2}}{q_{m-1}\beta' + q_{m-2}},$$

and

$$\gamma = \frac{p_{m-1}\gamma' + p_{m-2}}{q_{m-1}\gamma' + q_{m-2}}.$$

As the function $\frac{p_{m-1}x+p_{m-2}}{q_{m-1}x+q_{m-2}}$ is monotone, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\alpha - \beta| \\
\leq &\max(|\alpha - \frac{p_{m-1}}{q_{m-1}}|, |\alpha - \frac{p_{m-1}+p_{m-2}}{q_{m-1}+q_{m-2}}|) \\
\leq &\max(\frac{1}{q_{m-1}(q_{m-1}\alpha' + q_{m-2})}, \frac{|\alpha' - 1|}{(q_{m-1}\alpha' + q_{m-2})(q_{m-1}+q_{m-2})}) \\
\leq &\frac{D}{q_{m-1}(q_{m-1}\alpha' + q_{m-2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2,

$$|\alpha - \gamma| = \frac{|\alpha' - \gamma'|}{(q_{m-1}\alpha' + q_{m-2})(q_{m-1}\gamma' + q_{m-2})} \geq \frac{1}{4 \cdot 72 D^3 q_{m-1}(q_{m-1}\alpha' + q_{m-2})}.$$

Hence the second assertion of the lemma follows. □

The next lemma follows directly from an elementary calculation.

Lemma 2.4. *Let*

$$\cdots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots,$$

and

$$\cdots, b_{-1}, b_0, b_1, b_2, \cdots,$$

be two doubly infinite sequences of positive integer, satisfying $a_i = b_i$ for $m \leq i \leq n$. Set

$$A_i = \frac{([a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \cdots] - [b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, \cdots])(\frac{1}{[a_i, a_{i-1}\cdots]} - \frac{1}{[b_i, b_{i-1}\cdots]})}{([a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \cdots] + \frac{1}{[a_i, a_{i-1}\cdots]})([b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, \cdots] + \frac{1}{[b_i, b_{i-1}\cdots]})}.$$

Then we have

$$A_{m-1} = A_m = \cdots = A_n.$$

We recall that the continued fraction expansion of a real quadratic number α is eventually periodic. It is also well-known that if there exists an $A \in PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\beta = A\alpha$, then the continued fraction expansions of α and β have the same tail. Thus throughout this paper, we assume without loss of generality that $\alpha = [\overline{b_1, \cdots, b_s}]$ where $b_1, \cdots, b_s$ and s are positive integers. We extend the notation b_i to all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by requiring $b_i = b_j$ whenever $i \equiv j \ (mod\ s)$. It is well-known that the Galois conjugate of α is

$$\alpha^\sigma = -\frac{1}{\alpha'} = -\frac{1}{[\overline{b_s, \cdots, b_1}]}.$$

Let $B = 2 + max(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_s)$.

The following lemma is from [1, Corollary 2.3].

Lemma 2.5. *Let*

$$\xi = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots]$$

be an irrational real number. Let

$$\beta = [a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_r, a_1', \cdots, a_t', \overline{b_{i+1}, \cdots, b_{i+s}}]$$

where $t \geq 1$, $a_{r+1} \neq a_1'$ *and* $a_t' \neq b_i$. *Then we have*

$$2\frac{|\xi - \beta|}{|\beta - \beta^\sigma|} \geq \frac{1}{96B^3(B+1)^2}.$$

Set

$$\alpha_i = [\overline{b_i, \cdots, b_{i+s-1}}],$$
$$\alpha_i' = [\overline{b_{i+s-1}, \cdots, b_i}] = -\tfrac{1}{\alpha_i^\sigma},$$

and set

$$\Phi = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s, \alpha_1', \cdots, \alpha_s'\}.$$

For each $\phi \in \Phi$, set

$$\xi_{r,\phi} = [a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_r, \phi].$$

By Lemma 2.5, when $c_\alpha \xi < \frac{1}{48B^3(B+1)^2}$, we have

$$c_\alpha \xi = \min_{\phi \in \Phi} \liminf_{r \to \infty} 2\tfrac{|\xi - \xi_{r,\phi}|}{|\xi_{r,\phi} - \xi_{r,\phi}^\sigma|}. \tag{3}$$

Now we repeat the calculations in (cf.[1, 8]) to get an explicit expression for $2\frac{|\xi - \xi_{r,\phi}|}{|\xi_{r,\phi} - \xi_{r,\phi}^\sigma|}$.

Let $\{\frac{p_n'}{q_n'}\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of convergents of $[a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots]$. Then by an elementary property of continued fractions (cf.[5, p.133]) we have

$$\xi_{r,\phi} = \frac{p_r'\phi + p_{r-1}'}{q_r'\phi + q_{r-1}'}.$$

Hence

$$|\xi_{r,\phi} - \xi_{r,\phi}^\sigma| = |\frac{\phi - \phi^\sigma}{(q_r'\phi + q_{r-1}')(q_r'\phi^\sigma + q_{r-1}')}|.$$

Set

$$d_r := \frac{q_r'}{q_{r-1}'} = [a_r, a_{r-1}, \cdots, a_1]$$

and

$$D_r := [a_{r+1}, a_{r+2}, \cdots].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi - \xi_{r,\phi}| &= |\frac{p_r'D_r + p_{r-1}'}{q_r'D_r + q_{r-1}'} - \frac{p_r'\phi + p_{r-1}'}{q_r'\phi + q_{r-1}'}| \\ &= |\frac{\phi - D_r}{(q_r'\phi + q_{r-1}')(q_r'D_r + q_{r-1}')}|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{2|\xi-\xi_{r,\phi}|}{|\xi_{r,\phi}-\xi^{\sigma}_{r,\phi}|}=\frac{2|D_r-\phi||\frac{1}{d_r}+\phi^{\sigma}|}{|\phi-\phi^{\sigma}||D_r+\frac{1}{d_r}|}. \tag{4}$$

3. Hall's ray for quadratic Lagrange spectrum

In this section, we prove the existence of Hall's ray for quadratic Lagrange spectrum. Let n be the minimal positive integer satisfying

$$2^{(n-1)s}>16\cdot 16\cdot 36\cdot 144B^{2s+8}. \tag{5}$$

It follows from (5) that $n\geq 3$. Set $\psi=\frac{1}{72\cdot 72B^{s(6n+6)+4}}$ and let $\epsilon\in(0,\psi]$.

Lemma 3.1.

$$\epsilon=\frac{2(B+\frac{1}{x}-\alpha')(y-\alpha)}{(\alpha\alpha'+1)(1+y(B+\frac{1}{x}))} \tag{6}$$

defines an increasing function $f_\epsilon(x)=y$ which maps $(0,+\infty)$ injectively into $(\alpha-1/2,\alpha+1/2)$. On the interval $[B,B+2]$, we have $M/2\leq f'_\epsilon(x)\leq 2M$ where

$$M=\frac{\epsilon(\alpha\alpha'+1)[2\alpha\alpha'+2-\epsilon(\alpha\alpha'+1)]}{[(2(B-\alpha')-\epsilon B(\alpha\alpha'+1))(B+1)+2-\epsilon(\alpha\alpha'+1)]^2}.$$

Proof. Solving the equation, we get

$$f_\epsilon(x)=y=\frac{2\alpha[(B-\alpha')x+1]+\epsilon(\alpha\alpha'+1)x}{2[(B-\alpha')x+1]-\epsilon(\alpha\alpha'+1)(Bx+1)}.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$f'_\epsilon(x)=\frac{\epsilon(\alpha\alpha'+1)[2\alpha\alpha'+2-\epsilon(\alpha\alpha'+1)]}{[(2(B-\alpha')-\epsilon B(\alpha\alpha'+1))x+2-\epsilon(\alpha\alpha'+1)]^2}.$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.2. *For each $\epsilon\in(0,\psi]$ There exist a positive integer $k>3n$ and two positive infinite continued fractions*

$$x=[e_1,e_2,\cdots]$$

and

$$y=[\underbrace{b_1,\cdots,b_s}_{k},f_1,f_2,\cdots]$$

such that

i *equation (6) is valid, i.e., $f_\epsilon(x)=y$;*
ii *$(f_1,f_2,\cdots,f_s)\neq(b_1,\cdots,b_s)$;*
iii *any subblock of $[\overline{b_1\cdots b_s}]$ of length $>ns$ does not occurs in $e_1e_2\cdots$ and $f_{m+1}f_{m+2}\cdots$.*

Proof. Let Γ be the uncountable set of infinte words of elements from $\{B, B+1\}$. Choose a $\omega_0 = u_1^{(0)}u_2^{(0)}\cdots \in \Gamma$ and set $\mu_0 = [u_1^{(0)}, u_2^{(0)}, \cdots]$ such that $\nu_0 = f_\epsilon(\mu_0)$ is irrational, i.e., ν_0 has an infinite continued fraction expansion

$$\nu_0 = [\underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_s}_{k}, v_1^{(0)}, v_2^{(0)}, \cdots]$$

where $k \geq 0$ indicates the number of times the block $b_1, \cdots, b_s$ is repeated. and

$$(v_1^{(0)}, v_2^{(0)}, \cdots, v_s^{(0)}) \neq (b_1, \cdots, b_s,).$$

Let $\{\frac{p_n}{q_n}\}_{n\geq 1}$ be the sequence of convergents of $[\overline{b_1, \cdots, b_s}]$. By Lemma 2.2 and (6) we have

$$\frac{1}{72B^{2s(k+3)}} \leq \frac{1}{72q_{s(k+3)}^2} \leq |\nu_0 - \alpha| < \epsilon B^4 \leq \frac{1}{72B^{s(6n+6)}}, \tag{7}$$

hence $k > 3n$.

Claim 3.3. *For any* $\omega_1 = v_1^{(1)}v_2^{(1)}\cdots \in \Gamma$,

$$\nu_1 = [\underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_s}_{k}, v_1^{(0)}, v_2^{(0)}, \cdots, v_{ns}^{(0)}, v_1^{(1)}, v_2^{(1)}, \cdots]$$

lies in the interval $f_\epsilon([u_1^{(0)}, u_1^{(0)} + 1])$.

Proof. The distance of $[u_1^{(0)}, u_2^{(0)}, \cdots]$ from the boundary of $[u_1^{(0)}, u_1^{(0)} + 1]$ is at least $\frac{1}{B+2}$. If the claim is invalid, then by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$|\nu_1 - \nu_0| > \frac{M}{2(B+2)} > \frac{\epsilon}{32B^5}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$|\nu_1 - \nu_0| \leq \frac{72}{2^{(n-1)s-3}}|\alpha - \nu_0| \leq \frac{72\epsilon B^4}{2^{(n-1)s-3}}.$$

Hence

$$2^{(n-1)s} < 8 \cdot 32 \cdot 72B^9.$$

This contradicts (5). □

Now, pick $\nu_1 = [\underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_s}_{k}, v_1^{(0)}, v_2^{(0)}, \cdots, v_{ns}^{(0)}, v_1^{(1)}, v_2^{(1)}, \cdots]$ for some $\omega_1 = v_1^{(1)}v_2^{(1)}\cdots \in \Gamma$ such that $v_{ns+1}^{(0)} \neq v_1^{(1)}$ and

$$f_\epsilon^{-1}(\nu_1) = \mu_1 = [u_1^{(0)}, \cdots, u_{s_0}^{(0)}, u_1^{(1)}, u_2^{(1)}, \cdots]$$

is irrational, where $u_{s_0+1}^{(0)} \neq u_1^{(1)}$. By Claim 4.4, $s_0 > 0$.

Next pick a

$$\omega_2 = u_1^{(2)}, u_2^{(2)}, \cdots \in \Gamma$$

and set

$$\mu_2 = [u_1^{(0)}, \cdots, u_{s_0}^{(0)}, u_1^{(1)}, u_2^{(1)}, \cdots, u_{ns}^{(1)}, u_1^{(2)}, u_2^{(2)}, \cdots]$$

such that $u_{ns+1}^{(1)} \neq u_1^{(2)}$ and $f_\epsilon(\mu_2)$ is irrational. Set $\nu_2 = f_\epsilon(\mu_2)$. Then by Lemmas 2.3 and 3.1,

$$|\nu_2 - \nu_1| \leq 2M|\mu_2 - \mu_1| \leq \frac{72}{2^{(n-1)s-4}} M|\mu_0 - \mu_1| \leq \frac{144}{2^{(n-1)s-4}}|\nu_0 - \nu_1|. \tag{8}$$

Claim 3.4. *There exists a positive s_1 such that*

$$\nu_2 = [\underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_s}_{k}, v_1^{(0)}, v_2^{(0)}, \cdots, v_{ns}^{(0)}, v_1^{(1)}, \cdots, v_{s_1}^{(1)}, v_1^{(2)}, v_2^{(2)}, \cdots]$$

where $v_{s_1+1}^{(1)} \neq v_1^{(2)}$.

Proof. If the continued fraction expansions of $f_\epsilon(\mu_2)$ and ν_1 differ before $v_2^{(1)}$, by Lemma 2.3 we would have

$$|\nu_0 - \nu_1| \leq 4 \cdot 72(2B)^4|\nu_2 - \nu_1|. \tag{9}$$

This contradicts (8). □

Again, pick a

$$\omega_3 = v_1^{(3)}, v_2^{(3)}, \cdots \in \Gamma$$

and set

$$\nu_3 = [\underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_s}_{k}, v_1^{(0)}, v_2^{(0)}, \cdots, v_{ns}^{(0)}, v_1^{(1)}, \cdots, v_{s_1}^{(1)}, v_1^{(2)}, \cdots, v_{ns}^{(2)}, v_1^{(3)}, v_2^{(3)}, \cdots]$$

such that $v_{ns+1}^{(2)} \neq v_1^{(3)}$ and $f_\epsilon^{-1}(\nu_3)$ is irrational. Continuing in this way, we finally get two infinite continued fractions

$$x = [U^{(0)}, U^{(1)}, U^{(2)}, \cdots]$$

and

$$y = [\underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_s}_{k}, V^{(0)}, V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, \cdots],$$

where $V^{(2l)}$ and $U^{(2l+1)}$ are words of length ns, and $V^{(2l+1)}$ and $U^{(2l)}$ are finite words of elements from $\{B, B+1\}$. Hence x and y satisfy Conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). □

Now for each $\epsilon \in (0, \psi]$, choose two infinite continued fractions

$$x = [e_1, e_2, \cdots]$$

and

$$y = [\underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_s}_{k}, f_1, f_2, \cdots]$$

satisfying Conditions of Lemma 3.2. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\xi=&[h_1,h_2,\cdots]\\ =&[e_n,\cdots,e_1,B,\underbrace{b_1,\cdots,b_s}_{k},f_1,\cdots,f_n,\\ &e_{2n},\cdots,e_1,B,\underbrace{b_1,\cdots,b_s}_{k},f_1,\cdots f_{2n},\\ &e_{3n},\cdots,e_1,B,\underbrace{b_1,\cdots,b_s}_{k},f_1,\cdots f_{3n},\\ &\cdots\cdots\cdots].\end{aligned}$$

By Condition (iii) of Lemma 3.2, any subblock of $[\overline{b_1\cdots b_s}]$ of length $>2ns$ does not occur in $h_1,h_2,\cdots$ except for $\underbrace{b_1,\cdots,b_s}_{k}$. Let I be the set of all i satisfying $h_{i+1},\cdots,h_{i+ks}=\underbrace{b_1,\cdots,b_s}_{k}$. For each $\phi\in\Phi$, set

$$\xi_{r,\phi}=[h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_r,\phi],$$

$$d_r=[h_r,h_{r-1},\cdots,h_1],$$

and

$$D_r=[h_{r+1},h_{r+2},\cdots].$$

Then by (4) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\lim_{r\in I,\ r\to\infty}\frac{2|\xi-\xi_{r,\alpha}|}{|\xi_{r,\alpha}-\xi_{r,\alpha}^{\sigma}|}=\epsilon, \tag{10}$$

hence $c_\alpha\xi\le\epsilon$. By (3) and (4), in order to prove $c_\alpha\xi=\epsilon$, we need to estimate $\frac{2|D_r-\phi||\frac{1}{d_r}+\phi^\sigma|}{|\phi-\phi^\sigma||D_r+\frac{1}{d_r}|}$. Assume that $\phi=\alpha_i$. Then let m,l be the nonnegative integers such that

$$h_{r-m+1}=b_{i-m},\cdots,h_{r+1}=b_i,\cdots,h_{r+l}=b_{i+l-1},$$

and

$$h_{r-m}\neq b_{i-m-1},\quad h_{r+l+1}\neq b_{i+l}.$$

Now we divide the estimation into 3 cases

(i) $m+l\le 3s$

By Lemma 2.2 we have $|D_r-\alpha_i|\ge\frac{1}{72B^{6s+2}}$ and $|\frac{1}{d_r}-\frac{1}{\alpha_i'}|\ge\frac{1}{72B^{6s+4}}$. If $h_{r+1}\le B$, we have

$$\frac{2|D_r-\alpha_i||\frac{1}{d_r}-\frac{1}{\alpha_i'}|}{|\alpha_i+\frac{1}{\alpha_i'}||D_r+\frac{1}{d_r}|}\ge\frac{1}{2\cdot 72\cdot 72B^{12s+8}}>\epsilon.$$

If $h_{r+1} > B$, we have

$$\frac{2|D_r - \alpha_i|}{|D_r + \frac{1}{d_r}|} \geq \frac{1}{B}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{2|D_r - \alpha_i||\frac{1}{d_r} - \frac{1}{\alpha_i'}|}{|\alpha_i + \frac{1}{\alpha_i'}||D_r + \frac{1}{d_r}|} \geq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 72B^{6s+6}} > \epsilon.$$

(ii) $3s < m + l \leq 2ns$. In this case let

$$h_{r-m+1}, \cdots, h_{r+l} = b_h, \cdots, b_s, \underbrace{b_1, \cdots, b_s}_{g}, b_1, \cdots, b_j$$

where $1 \leq h, j \leq s$. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\frac{2|D_r - \alpha_i||\frac{1}{d_r} - \frac{1}{\alpha_i'}|}{|\alpha_i + \frac{1}{\alpha_i'}||D_r + \frac{1}{d_r}|} = \frac{2|D_{r-m+s-h+1} - \alpha||d_{r-m+s-h+1} - \alpha'|}{(\alpha\alpha' + 1)(D_{r-m+s-h+1}d_{r-m+s-h+1} + 1)}. \tag{11}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|d_{r-m+s-h+1} - \alpha'| \geq \frac{1}{72B^{2s+4}}. \tag{12}$$

By Lemma 2.3 and (6), we have

$$|D_{r-m+s-h+1} - \alpha| \geq \frac{2^{ns-3}}{72}|\nu_0 - \alpha| \geq \frac{2^{ns-3}}{72}\frac{2\epsilon}{3} = \frac{2^{ns-3}\epsilon}{3 \cdot 36}. \tag{13}$$

Now combining (11), (12) and (13) yields that

$$\frac{2|D_r - \alpha_i||\frac{1}{d_r} - \frac{1}{\alpha_i'}|}{|\alpha_i + \frac{1}{\alpha_i'}||D_r + \frac{1}{d_r}|} \geq \frac{2^{ns}\epsilon}{24 \cdot 36 \cdot 36B^{2s+8}} \geq \epsilon.$$

(iii) $m + l > 2ns$. In this case, we have $r - m \in I$ and, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\frac{2|D_r - \alpha_i||\frac{1}{d_r} - \frac{1}{\alpha_i'}|}{|\alpha_i + \frac{1}{\alpha_i'}||D_r + \frac{1}{d_r}|} = \frac{2|\xi - \xi_{r-m,\alpha}|}{|\xi_{r-m,\alpha} - \xi^{\sigma}_{r-m,\alpha}|}.$$

The estimation in the case $\phi = \alpha_i'$ can be dealt with in a similar way. Hence we show that

$$\min_{\phi \in \Phi} \liminf_{r \to \infty} 2\frac{|\xi - \xi_{r,\phi}|}{|\xi_{r,\phi} - \xi^{\sigma}_{r,\phi}|} = \epsilon.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. Hurwitz constant of quadratic Lagrange spectrum

From now on we assume that $v \geq u \geq 1$. In this section, we compute Hurwitz constants of quadratic Lagrange spectrums for real quadratic numbers $[\overline{u, v}]$, $u \geq 9$.

Set $\tau_1 = [\overline{u, v}]$ and $\tau_2 = [\overline{v, u}]$. Recall that φ is the Golden Ratio

$$(1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = [1, 1, 1, \cdots].$$

Set

$$\theta_{u,v} = \begin{cases} (\tau_1 - \varphi^2)(1 + \frac{\tau_2}{\varphi^2}) & \text{if } u \geq 3 \\ (\tau_1 - \varphi)(\frac{\tau_2}{\varphi} - 1) & \text{if } u = 2 \\ (\varphi - \tau_1)(\frac{\tau_2}{\varphi} - 1) & \text{if } u = 1 \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\theta_{u,v} < \tfrac{\tau_1\tau_2}{\varphi^2}. \tag{15}$$

Lemma 4.1. *If* $(u, v) \neq (1, 1)$,

$$c_{\tau_1}\varphi = \frac{2\theta_{u,v}}{(\varphi + \frac{1}{\varphi}))(\tau_1\tau_2 + 1)}.$$

Proof. Set $\varphi' = [\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{r}]$, and let $\tau = \tau_1$ or τ_2. If we approximate φ by

$$\alpha = [\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{r}, a_1, \cdots, a_n, \tau], \tag{16}$$

where $a_1 \neq 1$, we need to estimate $\frac{2|\varphi-\alpha|}{|\alpha-\alpha^\sigma|}$. Let $\{\frac{p_n}{q_n}\}_{n\geq 1}$ be the sequence of convergents of $[a_1, \cdots, a_n]$. Applying the deduction of equation (4) in §2 shows that

$$\frac{2|\varphi - \alpha|}{|\alpha - \alpha^\sigma|} = \frac{2|\frac{p_n\tau+p_{n-1}}{q_n\tau+q_{n-1}} - \varphi||\frac{p_n\tau^\sigma+p_{n-1}}{q_n\tau^\sigma+q_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\varphi'}|}{|\frac{p_n\tau+p_{n-1}}{q_n\tau+q_{n-1}} - \frac{p_n\tau^\sigma+p_{n-1}}{q_n\tau^\sigma+q_{n-1}}|(\varphi + \frac{1}{\varphi'})},$$

where we can assume that φ' is arbitrarily closed to φ if necessary. Let $\tau' = -\frac{1}{\tau^\sigma}$. Then the right hand side of the above equality simplifies to

$$\frac{2|(p_n - \varphi q_n)\tau + (p_{n-1} - \varphi q_{n-1})||(p_{n-1} + \varphi'^{-1}q_{n-1})\tau' - (p_n + \varphi'^{-1}q_n)|}{(\tau\tau' + 1)(\varphi + \frac{1}{\varphi'})}.$$

Now we follow the arguments in [8] to treat the case $n = 1$ (the case $n = 0$ can be reduced to the case $n = 1$ by setting $a_1 = [\tau]$ and replacing τ with τ'). In this case, $q_n = p_{n-1} = 1$ and $q_{n-1} = 0$. We need to estimate

$$Q = \frac{2|(p_1 - \varphi)\tau + 1||\tau' - p_1 - \varphi'^{-1}|}{(\tau\tau' + 1)(\varphi + \frac{1}{\varphi'})},$$

which is an absolute value of a quadratic form in $p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The minimal value can only be attained for integers closest to the zeroes of the quadratic form, which are $\varphi - \tau^{-1}$ and $\tau' - \varphi'^{-1}$. Hence the possible minimal integer points are

$$\begin{cases} 1, 2, v - 1, v & \text{if } u \geq 2, \tau = \tau_1 \\ 1, 2, u - 1, u & \text{if } u \geq 2, \tau = \tau_2 \\ 0, 1, v, v + 1 & \text{if } u = 1, \tau = \tau_1 \\ 0, 1, 2 & \text{if } u = 1, \tau = \tau_2 \end{cases}$$

Evaluating Q on these integers we get

$$\liminf_{|\alpha-\alpha^\sigma|\to 0}\frac{2|\varphi-\alpha|}{|\alpha-\alpha^\sigma|}=\frac{2\theta_{u,v}}{(\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi})(\tau_1\tau_2+1)},$$

where we require $n=1$ and $\tau\in\{\tau_1,\tau_2\}$ in (16).

Now we treat the case $n\geq 2$ and $a_n\neq[\tau']$. In this case, as $a_1\neq 1$, we have $p_i\geq 2q_i$, and hence

$$p_i-\varphi q_i\geq p_i(1-\varphi q_i/p_i)\geq 2-\varphi, \tag{17}$$

for $i=n,n-1$. (17) still holds for $i=n-2$ because when $n=2$, $p_{n-2}-\varphi q_{n-2}=1$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$\frac{2\tau(p_n-\varphi q_n)|(p_{n-1}+\varphi'^{-1}q_{n-1})\tau'-(p_n+\varphi'^{-1}q_n)|}{(\tau\tau'+1)(\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi'})}\geq\frac{2\theta_{u,v}}{(\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi})(\tau_1\tau_2+1)},$$

or

$$\tau(p_n-\varphi q_n)|(p_{n-1}+\varphi'^{-1}q_{n-1})\tau'-(p_n+\varphi'^{-1}q_n)|\geq\frac{(\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi'})}{(\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi})}\theta_{u,v}.$$

By (15), when φ' is sufficiently closed to φ, we have

$$\frac{(\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi'})}{(\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi})}\theta_{u,v}<\frac{\tau_1\tau_2}{\varphi^2}.$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$\frac{(p_n-\varphi q_n)|(p_{n-1}+\varphi'^{-1}q_{n-1})(\tau'-a_n)-(p_{n-2}+\varphi'^{-1}q_{n-2})|}{\tau'}\geq\frac{1}{\varphi^2}. \tag{18}$$

When $a_n>[\tau']$, the left hand side of (18) is larger than

$$\frac{a_n(p_{n-1}-\varphi q_{n-1})(p_{n-2}+\varphi'^{-1}q_{n-2})}{\tau'}\geq(2-\varphi)=\frac{1}{\varphi^2}.$$

When $a_n<[\tau']$, the left hand side of (18) is

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{(p_n-\varphi q_n)((p_{n-1}+\varphi'^{-1}q_{n-1})(\tau'-a_n)-(p_{n-2}+\varphi'^{-1}q_{n-2}))}{\tau'}\\ \geq&\frac{(p_n-\varphi q_n)((p_{n-1}+\varphi'^{-1}q_{n-1})-(p_{n-2}+\varphi'^{-1}q_{n-2}))}{a_n+1}\\ \geq&\frac{(a_n(p_{n-1}-\varphi q_{n-1})+(p_{n-2}-\varphi q_{n-2}))}{a_n+1}\\ \geq&(2-\varphi)=\frac{1}{\varphi^2}.\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

For an irrational real number

$$\xi = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots],$$

set

$$N_\xi = \liminf_{r\to\infty} a_r,$$

and set

$$\vartheta_{u,v} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \geq 2 \\ \\ \tau_1 - 1 & \text{if } u = 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.2. *For any $v \geq u \geq 1$, we have*

$$\lim_{N_\xi\to\infty} c_{\tau_1}\xi = \frac{2\vartheta_{u,v}}{(\tau_1\tau_2+1)}.$$

In particular, if $N_\xi = \infty$, we have

$$c_{\tau_1}\xi = \frac{2\vartheta_{u,v}}{(\tau_1\tau_2+1)}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\xi = [b_0, b_1, b_2, \cdots]$$

is a positive number such that N_ξ is very large. Set

$$d_r := [b_r, b_{r-1}, \cdots, b_0]$$

and

$$D_r := [b_{r+1}, b_{r+2}, \cdots].$$

If we approximate ξ by

$$\alpha = [b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_r, a_1, \cdots, a_n, \tau], \tag{19}$$

where $a_1 \neq b_{r+1}$ and $\tau \in \{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$, we need to estimate

$$\frac{2|(p_n - D_r q_n)\tau + (p_{n-1} - D_r q_{n-1})||(p_{n-1} + d_r^{-1} q_{n-1})\tau' - (p_n + d_r^{-1} q_n)|}{(\tau\tau'+1)(D_r + \frac{1}{d_r})} \tag{20}$$

or

$$\frac{2|(p_n - D_r q_n)\tau + (p_{n-1} - D_r q_{n-1})||(p_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - p_{n-2}) + d_r^{-1}(q_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - q_{n-2})|}{(\tau\tau'+1)(D_r + \frac{1}{d_r})} \tag{21}$$

where $\{\frac{p_i}{q_i}\}_{i\geq 1}$ is the sequence of convergents of $[a_1, \cdots, a_n]$. The treatment in the case $n = 1$ proceeds exactly as above and implies the lower limit is $\frac{2\vartheta_{u,v}}{(\tau_1\tau_2+1)}$ when $N_\xi \to \infty$.

Now we treat the case $n \geq 2$ and $a_n \neq [\tau']$. When $a_n > [\tau']$,

$$|p_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - p_{n-2}| \geq |q_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - q_{n-2}|,$$

hence

$$\frac{|p_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - p_{n-2}|}{|q_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - q_{n-2}|} \geq \frac{1}{\tau\tau'}. \tag{22}$$

When $a_n < [\tau']$,

$$|p_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - p_{n-2}| \geq \frac{p_{n-1}}{\tau},$$

and

$$|q_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - q_{n-2}| \leq p_{n-1}\tau',$$

hence (22) still holds.

As we are only concerned with the the lower limit when $N_\xi \to \infty$, by (22), we can replace (21) with

$$\frac{2|(p_n - D_r q_n)\tau + (p_{n-1} - D_r q_{n-1})||p_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - p_{n-2}|}{D_r(\tau\tau' + 1)}. \tag{23}$$

Claim 4.3.

$$|p_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - p_{n-2}| \geq \frac{p_{n-1}}{\tau} min(1, \tau - 1).$$

Proof. When $a_n > [\tau']$, the left hand side is

$$p_{n-1}(a_n - \tau') + p_{n-2} > p_{n-1}(1 - \tfrac{1}{\tau}).$$

When $a_n < [\tau']$, the left hand side is

$$p_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - p_{n-2} \geq p_{n-1}(1 + \tfrac{1}{\tau}) - p_{n-2} \geq \frac{p_{n-1}}{\tau}.$$

□

It remains to estimate $|(p_n - D_r q_n)\tau + (p_{n-1} - D_r q_{n-1})|$. We note that as $a_1 \neq b_{r+1}$, $(p_n - D_r q_n)\tau$ and $p_{n-1} - D_r q_{n-1}$ can not have opposite signs.

Claim 4.4. *If $n = 2$ and $a_2 \neq 1$, or $n = 2$ and $a_1 > b_{r+1}$, or $n > 2$, we have*

$$|(p_n - D_r q_n)\tau + (p_{n-1} - D_r q_{n-1})| \geq \tau |a_1 - D_r|,$$

when $N_\xi \to \infty$.

Proof. When $n = 2$ and $a_2 \neq 1$, or $n = 2$ and $a_1 > b_{r+1}$, the left hand side simplifies to

$$|(a_1 - D_r)(a_2\tau + 1) + \tau| \geq \tau |a_1 - D_r|. \tag{24}$$

Now we consider the case $n > 2$. If $a_1 - b_{r+1} \neq -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(p_n - D_r q_n)\tau + (p_{n-1} - D_r q_{n-1})| \\ \geq &|\tau q_n(\tfrac{p_n}{q_n} - D_r)| \\ \geq &|2\tau(\tfrac{p_n}{q_n} - D_r)| \\ \geq &\tau |a_1 - D_r|. \end{aligned}$$

If $a_1 - b_{r+1} = -1$, direct computation shows that

$$|D_r - \tfrac{p_n}{q_n}| = a_1 + 1 + \tfrac{1}{D_{r+1}} - a_1 - \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \cdots}} \geq \tfrac{1}{a_3 + 2}$$

and $q_n \geq a_1 a_3 \geq 2(a_3+2)$ when $N_\xi \to \infty$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(p_n - D_r q_n)\tau + (p_{n-1} - D_r q_{n-1})| \\ \geq &|\tau q_n(\tfrac{p_n}{q_n} - D_r)| \\ \geq &\frac{\tau q_n}{a_3+2}, \\ \geq &\tau |a_1 - D_r|. \end{aligned}$$

□

We note that $p_{n-1} \geq a_1$. Now if $n=2$ and $a_2 \neq 1$, or $n=2$ and $a_1 > b_{r+1}$, or $n>2$, combining Claim 4.3 and Claim 4.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2|(p_n - D_r q_n)\tau + (p_{n-1} - D_r q_{n-1})||p_{n-1}(\tau' - a_n) - p_{n-2}|}{D_r(\tau\tau'+1)} \\ \geq &\frac{2a_1|a_1 - D_r| min(1, \tau - 1)}{D_r(\tau\tau'+1)} \\ \geq &\frac{2\vartheta_{u,v}}{(\tau_1\tau_2+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

when $N_\xi \to \infty$.

If $n=2$, $a_2 = 1$ and $a_1 < b_{r+1}$, (23) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2|(a_1 - D_r)(\tau+1) + \tau||a_1(\tau'-1) - 1|}{D_r(\tau\tau'+1)} \\ \geq &\frac{2(\tau(D_r - a_1 - 1) + D_r - a_1)(\frac{a_1}{\tau} + a_1 - 1)}{D_r(\tau\tau'+1)} \\ \geq &\frac{2\vartheta_{u,v}}{(\tau_1\tau_2+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

when $N_\xi \to \infty$. This completes the proof. □

Comparing Lemma 4.1 with Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\lim_{N_\xi \to \infty} c_{\tau_1}\xi < c_{\tau_1}\varphi$$

when $u \geq 4$.

We are now in the position to determine the Hurwitz constant of quadratic Lagrange spectrum for real quadratic number $[\overline{u,v}]$, $u \geq 9$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let

$$\xi = [b_0, b_1, \cdots]$$

be an irrational real number not in $\Theta_\varphi \bigcup \Theta_{[\overline{u,v}]}$ and let d_r and D_r be as before. If we approximate ξ by

$$\xi' = [b_0, b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_r, k, \tau], \tag{25}$$

we need to verify

$$\frac{|(k-D_r)\tau+1||\tau'-k-\frac{1}{d_r}|}{D_r+\frac{1}{d_r}} \le \frac{(\tau_1-\varphi^2)(1+\frac{\tau_2}{\varphi^2})}{\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi}}. \tag{26}$$

The proof is divided into 4 cases

i There exist infinitely many r such that $b_r, b_{r+1} > 1$.

For such r, set $k = [\tau']$. Then the left hand side of (26) is

$$\frac{|\tau'-D_r||\tau-d_r|}{D_r d_r+1}.$$

which is invariant under the interchange $(\tau', D_r) \leftrightarrow (\tau, d_r)$. Hence we can assume without loss of generality that $d_r \ge D_r$. Set $\tau = \tau_2$.

Claim 4.5. *There exist infinitely many r such that $b_r, b_{r+1} > 1$, and*

$$\frac{|\tau_1-D_r|}{D_r d_r+1} \le \frac{\tau_1-\varphi^2}{\varphi^2(\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi})}. \tag{27}$$

Proof. If $b_r \ge 3$, it is easy to check that (27)holds.

If $b_r \ge 3$ does not occur infinitely, then, as $d_r \ge D_r$, either the case $b_{r-1}+1 = b_r = b_{r+1} = 2 \ge b_{r+2}$ occurs infinitely or $b_r = 2$ for sufficiently large r. We have in the first case $d_r > 2+\frac{1}{2}$, $D_r \ge 2+\frac{1}{3}$ in the second case $D_r, d_r \to 1+\sqrt{2}$. Since $\tau_1 > 9$, in both the cases we can verify that (27) still holds. □

Now choose an r of Claim 4.5. If $\tau_2 \le 2d_r$, $\frac{|\tau_2-d_r|}{d_r} \le 1$. Since $\tau_2 > 9$, in this case we have

$$\frac{|\tau_1-D_r||\tau_2-d_r|}{D_r d_r+1} \le \frac{|\tau_1-D_r|}{D_r} \le \frac{(\tau_1-\varphi^2)(1+\frac{\tau_2}{\varphi^2})}{\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi}}.$$

If $\tau_2 > 2d_r$, by (27), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{|\tau_1-D_r||\tau_2-d_r|}{D_r d_r+1}\\ \le&\frac{\tau_2(\tau_1-\varphi^2)}{\varphi^2(\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi})}\\ \le&\frac{(\tau_1-\varphi^2)(1+\frac{\tau_2}{\varphi^2})}{\varphi+\frac{1}{\varphi}}.\end{aligned}$$

This settles the case (1).

If the case (1) is excluded, then the tail of $[b_0, b_1, \cdots]$ has the form

$$b_{c_1}, 1, \cdots, 1, b_{c_2}, 1, \cdots, 1, b_{c_3}, 1, \cdots, 1, b_{c_4}, 1, \cdots$$

where $b_{c_i} > 1$. Set $M = \limsup_{r\to\infty} b_{c_r}$.

ii $M \geq v$.

For any $b_{c_r} \geq v$, set $k = 1$ and $\tau = \tau_2$, and replace r with $c_r - 1$. Then the left hand side of (26) is

$$\frac{|1 - \frac{\tau_2}{D_{c_r}}|(\tau_1 - 1 - \frac{1}{d_{c_r-1}})}{D_{c_r-1} + \frac{1}{d_{c_r-1}}} \leq \tau_1 - 1 \leq \frac{(\tau_1 - \varphi^2)(1 + \frac{\tau_2}{\varphi^2})}{\varphi + \frac{1}{\varphi}}.$$

iii $M < u - 1$. For any $b_{c_r} = M$, set $k = M + 1$ and $\tau = \tau_2$, and replace r with $c_r - 1$. Then the left hand side of (26) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{((\frac{1}{1+D_{c_r+1}})\tau_2 + 1)(\tau_1 - M - 1 - \frac{1}{d_{c_r-1}})}{M + \frac{D_{c_r+1}}{1+D_{c_r+1}} + \frac{1}{d_{c_r-1}}} \\ \leq &\frac{(\frac{1}{2}\tau_2 + 1)(\tau_1 - \varphi^2)}{M + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq &\frac{(\tau_1 - \varphi^2)(1 + \frac{\tau_2}{\varphi^2})}{\varphi + \frac{1}{\varphi}}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

iv $v > M \geq u - 1$.

As $M \geq u - 1 > 8$ and $\tau_1 > 9$, interchanging τ_1 and τ_2 in (28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{((\frac{1}{1+D_{c_r+1}})\tau_1 + 1)(\tau_2 - M - 1 - \frac{1}{d_{c_r-1}})}{M + \frac{D_{c_r+1}}{1+D_{c_r+1}} + \frac{1}{d_{c_r-1}}} \\ \leq &\frac{(\frac{1}{2}\tau_1 + 1)(\tau_2 + \varphi^2)}{M + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq &\frac{(\tau_1 - \varphi^2)(1 + \frac{\tau_2}{\varphi^2})}{\varphi + \frac{1}{\varphi}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

[1] Y. Bugeaud, *On the quadratic Lagrange spectrum*, Math. Z. 276(3-4), 985-999 (2014).

[2] T. W. Cusick, *The connection between the Lagrange and Markov spectra*, Duke Math. J. 42 (1975), 507-517.

[3] T. W. Cusick and M. E. Flahive, *The Markoff and Lagrange spectra*, Mathematicas Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 30 (1989).

[4] M. Hall, *On the sum and product of continued fractions*, Annals of Math. 48 (4) (1947).

[5] G. Hardy and E. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1979.

[6] A. Markoff, *Sur les formes quadratiques binaires indéfinies*, Math. Ann. 15 (1879) 381-409.

[7] A. Markoff, *Sur les formes quadratiques binaires indéfinies*, Math. Ann. 17 (1880) 379-399.
[8] T. Pejković, *Quadratic Lagrange spectrum*, Math. Z. 283(3-4), 861-869(2016).
[9] J. Parkkonen, F. Paulin, *Spiraling spectra of geodesic lines in negatively curved manifolds*, Math. Z. 268(1-2), 101-142 (2011)
[10] J. Parkkonen, F. Paulin, *Erratum to: Spiraling spectra of geodesic lines in negatively curved manifolds*, Math. Z. 276(3-4), 1215-1216 (2014)